# Health co-benefits of Macedonian NDC

Authors: Verica Taseska-Gjorgievska, Aleksandar Dedinec, Natasa Markovska

Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts – Research Center for Energy and Sustainable Development (ICEOR-MANU)

12<sup>th</sup> Energy Planning and Modelling of Energy Systems meeting 21-22.12.2021

## Background

- Paris Agreement & North Macedonia
  - signed (2015) and ratified (2017), as a non-Annex I country to UNFCCC
  - 2015 submitted the Initial Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC)
    - Pledge: "To reduce the CO2 emissions from fossil fuels combustion for 30%, that is, for 36% at a higher level of ambition, by 2030 compared to the business as usual (BAU) scenario."
  - **2021** submitted the enhanced NDC
    - Pledge:
      - In 2030, 51% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions compared to 1990 levels
      - Expressed in net emissions, in 2030, 82% reduction compared to 1990 levels





ENHANCED NATIONALLY DETERMINED CONTRIBUTION

Submission by the Republic of North Macedonia

## Enhanced NDC

- Includes 63 mitigation policies and meas
   Description: The measure considers construction of new passive residential buildings in compliance with the EU Directive 2010 measure will provide issuing of certificates for energy performance of buildings, as a prerequisite for putting the building into oper sectors:
  - Energy (incl: energy supply, residential and r transport) - 32
  - Agriculture 4
  - Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LL
  - Waste 4
  - Additional PAMs (enablers of mitigation acti •
    - Emissions coverage: Economy-wid
    - GHGs covered:  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O_2$

#### PAM 19 Construction of passive building

Main objective: After 31.

	Timeframe		T	Туре	÷.	Sector		Gases	0	Scope	
	2020 - 2040		Techr	nical, regulatory		Households	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH4, N2O		National	
B	Relevant regulatory	planning documents, legal and acts	<ul> <li>Strategy for Energy Development of North Macedonia up to 2040</li> <li>Law on energy efficiency</li> </ul>								
lini	Methodology [for estimating the emissions] Bottom-up modeling and least-cost optimization using the MARKAL model. II Methodology								model. IP(		
٩	Assumptions			Construction of new passive buildings, while meeting the standard for at least A+ class ( kWh/m2) starting from 2020 and continuously increasing their number so that in 2040, 85 of new buildings are assumed to be passive.							
	Status of implementation [idea, planning phase, under implementation]		Under implementation								
	- Steps taken		Law on Energy Efficiency adopted.								
	- Steps envisaged		<ul> <li>National Building Renovation Strategy to be developed and adopted</li> <li>Establishment of an Energy Efficiency Fund</li> </ul>								
á	Indicators		Value in the last reporting year Indicative trajector		tory	y Target value		rget value			
			20	16-2018*	10	2020	202	25		2030	
	Progress	Area retrofitted (m <sup>2</sup> )									
	Emissions reduction (Gg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq)		3.1			0.3		4.5		17.0	
	Other	Final energy savings (ktoe)		1.0		0.4	2.	6		8.5	
		Primary energy savings (ktoe)		1.5		0.4	3.4	4		10.5	
<b>a</b>	-	Budget	1068	M€							
	Finance Source of finance		Private, donors through commercial EE loans, EE fund, financial support at municipal level								
â	Implement	ting entity	<ul> <li>Ministry of Economy, Energy Agency</li> <li>Donors and financial institutions</li> <li>Investors (households)</li> </ul>								
CA	Monitoring entity			Ministry of Economy, Energy Agency							
	Contribution for the achievement of the SDGs		direc	zt	in	direct					
¥			7		1	12 stores state 12 stores state 12 stores s	13 anns 6				

## Enhanced NDC

- Additional aspects
  - Economic and environmental evaluation of PAMs using the Marginal Abatement Cost (MAC) Curve tool



Reduction of Retrofitting of existir

## Motivation for the study

 To evaluate the benefits on human health associated with improvements in ambient air quality that could be expected from implementation of the proposed PaMs (in the energy sector).

### Tools used

- MARKAL (MARket ALlocation) Model
  - Developed by IEA ETSAP
  - To calculate the air pollutants emission reductions
- CaRBonH (Carbon Reduction Benefits on Health ) tool
  - Developed by the WHO Regional Office for Europe (for 53 Member States)
  - To estimate the health co-benefits and related economic gains

## Health Impact of Air Pollution

Breathing problems (O<sub>a</sub>, PM, NO<sub>a</sub>, SO<sub>a</sub>, BaP) Irritation, inflammation and infections Asthma and reduced lung function Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (PM) Lung cancer (PM, BaP)

(NO\_)

Headache and anxiety (SO<sub>o</sub>) Impacts on the central nervous system (PM)

Source: https://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/signals/signals-2013/infographics/health-impacts-of-air-pollution/view

Cardiovascular

#### Impacts on the reproductive system (PM)

particles that are suspended in the air. Sea salt, black carbon,

dust and condensed particles from certain chemicals can be classed as a PM pollutant.

#### Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) is

Particulate matter (PM) are

formed mainly by combustion processes such as those occurring in car engines and power plants.

formed by chemical reactions (triggered by sunlight) involving pollutants emitted into the air, including those by transport, natural gas extraction, landfills and household chemicals.

Sulphur dioxide (SO.) is

emitted when sulphur containing fuels are burned for heating, power generation and transport. Volcanoes also emit SO, into the atmosphere.

Ground-level ozone (O\_) is Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) originates from incomplete combustion of fuels. Main engines

sources include wood and waste burning, coke and steel production and motor vehicles'

### CaRBonH calculation tool

- Aim to quantify the physical and economic consequences for human health achieved through improvements in country-level air quality from domestic carbon reductions
  - Health hazards are calculated using an impact pathway analysis
    - (explicitly traces the fate of pollutants from the moment they are released into the environment, followed by atmospheric dispersion and eventual removal by deposition and chemical transformation)
  - Health outcomes are calculated using epidemiological associations
    - (risk functions that link population response to changes in ambient exposure level)
  - The health benefits of reduced air pollution are transformed into economic costs using unit health costs (cost per case of disease or death)
- Excel-based tool, organized into four parts:
  - User input, Tool output, Tool calculations, and Databases
- Apportions the results according to reductions in national emissions plus additional health benefits achieved from emission reductions that occur in other countries – the transboundary pollution effect

### **CaRBonH** calculation tool

### What does the user need to input?

#### User inputs at country- or regional-level

Emissions reductions (time period: 2020, 2030)

Plot Area

- Greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions as percentage and absolute change relative to a particular Base Year
- Ambient air pollution emission reductions of fine particulate matter (PM2.5), sulphur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and ammonia (NH3) as absolute change relative to future business as usual emissions scenario in either 2020 or 2030

Data may be specified for a single country/region, or for a group of countries (e.g., Annex I countries only, or EU-28).

### Default data



CaRBonH Calculator at a glance (Version 1.0R, 10-Nov-2018)

CaRBonH Excel-based calculation tool

- Demographics: Population size by age group, life expectancy, natural mortality rate
- Exposure: Source–Receptor matrices, anthropogenic share of total emissions, country-to-population weighted downscaling factors, and mass ratio of PM2.5 to PM10
- Epidemiology: Concentration-Response functions
- Economics: Cost per case of illness, or death (value of statistical life, value of a life year)
- Data may be modified/supplemented by user.

#### What does the model deliver?

### Tool output results are summarized in tables and also shown graphically

Population exposure changes

PM2.5 concentration changes are calculated using source-receptor matrices which characterise the country-level impact on air quality from the combined effect of lower domestic emissions and reduced regional (transboundary) pollution from neighbouring countries. Country-specific modifiers are applied to convert spatially averaged concentrations to population-weighted values.

#### Physical health benefits

In addition to prevented premature mortality (and life years gained), tool calculates prevented annual illnesses in the vulnerable population (prevented cases of asthma, bronchitis, lost work days, hospital admission, etc.)

#### Economic benefits

Unit health costs are used to convert health effects into economic costs, taking into account health care expenditures, economic losses in productivity, and welfare loss from pain and suffering. Both physical and economic benefits may be distinguished according to reductions in national and regional emissions.

#### 

### Input parameters



### Input parameters





Energy supply 📕 Transport 🔛 Industry 🦰 Residential 📰 Commercial

0.735

0.706

### Results

•  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration changes (reduced exposure of population), in  $\mu g/m^3$ 



### Results - Health benefits (physical cases)



\*attributed to exposure to average annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at a level of 30.7 μg/m<sup>3</sup>, estimated by the European Environment Agency (EEA)

### Results - Health benefits (physical cases)

### **Prevented cases of illness (morbidity)**

lealth Benel

	Children		Adults	Labor force	All ag	jes	Mortality	
Country/Region	Bronchitis	Asthma	Bronchitis	WLD	RAD	HA	Deaths	YLL
North Macedonia	629	2,788	98	6,973	182,320	112	143	1,568
Total	2,259	11,436	344	92,166	584,521	346	504	5,032



### Results - Health benefits (economic value)



Economic benefit - 266 million US\$2005 (VSL metric), 2.8% of the country's GDP in 2019 (in 2005 prices)

VSL = Value of Statistical Life (the social price of anonymous death)

## Results - Health benefits (economic value)



Economic benefit - 111 million US\$2005 (VOLY metric), or 1.2% of the country's GDP in 2019 (in 2005 prices) VOLY = Value of Life Year

### Results - Health benefits (economic value)



Health Benef

Economic benefit of the prevented illnesses (morbidity) per capita are 8.47 US\$2005,or 2.75% of the MK current health expenditures/capita in 2018 (estimated to be almost 308 US\$2005)



### Conclusions and next steps

- At national level:
  - to enhance the ongoing NDC process, delivering additional support of the country's commitment to a successful transition to a low-carbon economy
  - will enable qualitative and quantitative analyses of the synergies and trade-offs between the NDC and a number of SDGs, primarily SDG3: Good Health and Wellbeing.

### At international level

- will represent a best practice example of "going beyond carbon reduction" and addressing additional aspects which are equally important for society
- should be promoted and shared with other countries in order to advance the transition to a decarbonized world

### Follow-up activities:

- CLIMAQ-H new updated version of the tool
- Integration of the economic parameter of the health co-benefits in the MAC curve

## Thank You!